

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 DISTRICT OF NEVADA
5

6 JOHN JOEY MARKS,

7 Petitioner,

8 v.

9 BRIAN WILLIAMS, *et al.*,

10 Respondents.
11

Case No. 2:17-cv-01413-JCM-PAL

**ORDER GRANTING MOTION
FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
(ECF NO. 44)**

12
13 In this habeas corpus action, the respondents were due to respond to the
14 petitioner's second amended habeas petition by March 9, 2020. See Order filed
15 October 18, 2019 (ECF No. 41); Notice filed December 9, 2019 (ECF No. 42).

16 On March 9, 2020, Respondents filed a motion for extension of time (ECF
17 No. 44), requesting a 45-day extension of time, to April 23, 2020, to file their response.
18 Respondents' counsel states that the extension of time is necessary because of a
19 reassignment of responsibility for this case at the office of the Nevada Attorney General.
20 The petitioner does not oppose the motion for extension of time.

21 The Court finds that Respondents' motion for extension of time is made in good
22 faith and not solely for the purpose of delay, and that there is good cause for the
23 extension of time requested.


24 **IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED** that Respondents' motion for extension of time
25 (ECF No. 44) is **GRANTED**. Respondents will have until and including **April 23, 2020**,
26 to file their response to the second amended habeas petition.

27 ///

28 ///

1 **IT IS FURTHER ORDERED** that, in all other respects, the schedule for further
2 proceedings set forth in the order filed October 18, 2019 (ECF No. 41) will remain in
3 effect.

4
5 DATED THIS 17th day of March, 2020.

6
7 
8 JAMES C. MAHAN,
9 UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28